

DEC 09 2005

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

RONALD HOLLIS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-10101

D.C. No. CR-04-50105-JMR/JCC

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
John M. Roll, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 7, 2005^{**}
San Francisco, California

Before: KOZINSKI and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges, and BENITEZ^{***}, District Judge.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

^{***} The Honorable Roger T. Benitez, United States District Judge for the Southern District of California, sitting by designation.

Ronald Hollis appeals special conditions five, six, and eight of his supervised release. Hollis had filed objections to these conditions but voluntarily withdrew those objections at the dispositional hearing. His intentional withdrawal of the objections constitutes a waiver of these issues for purposes of appeal. *See United States v. Manarite*, 44 F.3d 1407, 1419 n.18 (9th Cir. 1995) (“[W]ithdrawal of an objection is tantamount to waiver of an issue for appeal.”); *see also United States v. Olano*, 507 U.S. 725, 733 (1993) (“Whereas forfeiture is the failure to make the timely assertion of a right, waiver is the intentional relinquishment or abandonment of a known right.”) (internal quotation marks omitted).

AFFIRMED.